1	nia
S.	for
ノニ	'ali
United States District Court	Northern District of California
Ŝ	ct c
S	iti.
<u> </u>	Dis
72 3	E
2	the
5	√or
	$\boldsymbol{\angle}$

UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. CR 14-0175 WHA
v.	
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,	FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS RE DIXIE AND FLY FIRES
Defendant.	

By NOON ON AUGUST 25, PG&E shall please answer these following questions, except responses to Question No. 7 and thereafter may be submitted by NOON ON AUGUST 31.

- The photo of the stump submitted on July 28 does not comport with the other 1. photos from the scene submitted on that date. The stump is shown as burned to a crisp and about three-quarters gone, yet the limbs and needles very close by are green and unburned. Seems impossible. Moreover, the other photos show the fallen Douglas Fir as unburned and green (save for the line burns). How could the stump have burned so thoroughly yet the rest of the tree remain so unburned? This incongruity does not match up. Please explain. Provide the true picture of the true stump, as well as all other photos, including a photo of the bottom of the trunk of the Douglas Fir.
- While the photos were being taken, what was the status of the Dixie Fire and who was trying to contain it? Who took the photos?

3.	Please provide a sketch map with the following shown, approximately to scale
with respe	ct to the Dixie Fire:

- (a) The Bucks Creek 1101 Line;
- (b) The fuse hanging down;
- (c) The place at the Cresta Dam for which the troubleman saw the fuse hanging down (using binoculars);
 - (d) The Bucks Creek substation;
 - (e) Rock Creek Switching Center;
- (f) The route that the troubleman took to reach the pole with the hanging fuse;
 - (g) The Douglas Fir on the line;
 - (h) The 600 or 800 square-foot fire first seen by the Troubleman;
 - (i) Where the drone was seen; and
- (j) All other roads that would have allowed access to the location where the fuse was hanging down.
- 4. The Court has received information that PG&E informed the Monitor of the following: PG&E believed that the drone that interfered with Dixie firefighting on July 13 was being flown by a PG&E contractor at the time of the interference. PG&E believed that the contractor was not doing work for PG&E at the time of the interference, however, because records indicated that it had completed PG&E surveillance work for the day. Is it true that PG&E did believe that a PG&E contractor operated the drone (regardless of whether it was on behalf of PG&E or not)? What was the source of this information? Does PG&E still believe that?
- 5. The circuit was ranked 11 out of 3,635 circuits with respect to the Equipment Risk. Please state each reason the circuit received such an elevated risk rating.
- 6. The circuit was ranked 568 of 3,074 circuits with respect to Vegetation Risk. Please state each reason the circuit received such an elevated risk rating.

United States District Court Northern District of California

7.	Please provide copies of all reports and memos and emails regarding the site visit
on April 1	6, 2021, regarding the plan for under-grounding the circuit and all reports and
memos an	d emails summarizing, describing or referring to the need to do so or the proposal to
do so or th	ne problem leading to such proposals

- 8. What indications are there that the Douglas Fir broke and fell onto the Bucks Circuit?
- 9. What indications are there that the Douglas Fir uprooted and fell onto the Bucks Circuit?
 - 10. Please state all other information on why the Douglas Fir fell.
- 11. Please state all information and data on local or regional wind gusts or wind conditions at or about the time of power interruptions near the Douglas Fir.
- 12. With respect to the patrols on November 11, 2020, and January 14, 2021, what was identified for work, if anything, within one-quarter mile of the Douglas Fir?
- 13. With respect to any other patrols or inspection within the last five years, please state all other work, if any, was specified within one-quarter mile of the Douglas Fir.
- 14. Turning to the Fly Fire, what indications are there that the White Fir broke and fell onto the Gasner Circuit?
- 15. What indications are there that the White Fir uprooted and fell into the Gasner Circuit?
 - 16. Please state all other information on why the White Fir failed and fell.
- 17. Please state all information and data about local or regional wind gusts and wind conditions at about the time of the Fly Fire ignition.
- 18. Please provide photos of the White Fir after it fell onto the Gasner Circuit sufficient to show (i) whether it broke versus uprooted; (ii) the extent to which the tree burned; and (iii) whether the White Fir had disease or death (if any).

Case 3:14-cr-00175-WHA Document 1417 Filed 08/17/21 Page 4 of 4

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	5 6 7 8
	8
	9
	10
	11
States District Court n District of California	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
Initec	17
ر S	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

28

19.	What actual evidence indicates that the Fly Fire was started by a spot fire from the
Dixie Fire	?

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 17, 2021.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE