1 2 3 4 5	Megan A. Richmond (SBN 170753) MEGAN A. RICHMOND, APC 655 W. Broadway, Suite 1700 San Diego, California 92101-8495 Telephone: (619) 577-4253 Facsimile: (619) 577-4250 megan@therichmondfirm.com	
6 7	C. Brooks Cutter (SBN 121407) John R. Parker, Jr. (SBN 257761)	
8	Celine E. Cutter (SBN 312622) CUTTER LAW P.C. 401 Watt Ave., Suite 100	
9	Sacramento, CA 95864	
10	T: (916) 290-9400  F: (916) 588-9330	
11	bcutter@cutterlaw.com jparker@cutterlaw.com	
12	ccutter@cutterlaw.com	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
14	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
15	CIVILED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
16	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CA	LIFORNIA – EASTERN DIVISION
17	VIOLET MARQUEZ, LONGINA	CASE NO.
17	SHAW, and CHANTEIL GUY,	CASE NO.
	SHAW, and CHANTEIL GUY, individually and on behalf of all	COLLECTIVE ACTION
18 19	SHAW, and CHANTEIL GUY,	
18 19 20	SHAW, and CHANTEIL GUY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	COLLECTIVE ACTION [29 U.S.C. § 216(b)] COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF
18 19 20 21	SHAW, and CHANTEIL GUY, individually and on behalf of all	COLLECTIVE ACTION [29 U.S.C. § 216(b)]
18 19 20	SHAW, and CHANTEIL GUY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.	COLLECTIVE ACTION [29 U.S.C. § 216(b)] COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF
18 19 20 21 22 23	SHAW, and CHANTEIL GUY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs, v.  COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, a legal subdivision of the State of	COLLECTIVE ACTION [29 U.S.C. § 216(b)]  COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SHAW, and CHANTEIL GUY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs, v.  COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO,	COLLECTIVE ACTION [29 U.S.C. § 216(b)]  COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT  DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
18 19 20 21 22 23	SHAW, and CHANTEIL GUY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs, v.  COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, a legal subdivision of the State of California, and DOES 1–10,	COLLECTIVE ACTION [29 U.S.C. § 216(b)]  COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT  DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	SHAW, and CHANTEIL GUY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs, v.  COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, a legal subdivision of the State of California, and DOES 1–10, inclusive.	COLLECTIVE ACTION [29 U.S.C. § 216(b)]  COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT  DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL; CONSENTS TO SUE

## **PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. Plaintiffs are former or current non-exempt employees of Defendant COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ("Defendant") in the office of the Public Guardian, and bring this action, on their own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, under the United States Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), for remedies arising out of Defendants' non-payment of overtime to its Public Guardians.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims asserted herein pursuant to Article III of the United States Constitution, 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Defendant resides in this District for the purposes of the foregoing venue statute and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth in this Complaint occurred in this District.

## **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff VIOLET MARQUEZ is an individual who resides in this District, and is a citizen of the United States and California. Plaintiff MARQUEZ is currently, and was, at some point during the past three years, employed as a non-exempt social worker, known as a Deputy Public Guardian, by Defendant in this District.
- 5. Plaintiff LONGINA SHAW is an individual who resides in this District, and is a citizen of the United States and California. Plaintiff SHAW is currently, and was, at some point during the past three years, employed as a non-exempt social worker, known as a Deputy Public Guardian, by Defendant in this District.
- 6. Plaintiff CHANTEIL GUY is an individual who resides in this District, and is a citizen of the United States and California. Plaintiff GUY is currently, and

was, at some point during the past three years, employed as a non-exempt social worker, known as a Deputy Public Guardian, by Defendant in this District.

- 7. The additional persons who may become plaintiffs herein are also non-exempt social workers who are or were employed by Defendant in its Public Guardian office and who worked overtime hours for said Defendant without receiving any or all of the compensation to which they are entitled.
- 8. Defendant is a legal subdivision of the State of California, pursuant to Article 11, Section 1(a) of the California Constitution and Cal. Gov. Code § 23002.
- 9. Defendants DOES 1-10, inclusive, are sued herein under fictitious names. Their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiffs. When their true names and capacities are ascertained, Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each of these fictitiously named defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences alleged herein and that the damages alleged herein were caused by such defendants.

## **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. Plaintiffs make the allegations contained herein on their own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated. The allegations contained herein are made upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiffs and their own acts and circumstances, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief.
- 11. During the applicable limitations period, Plaintiffs were employed as non-exempt social workers by Defendant's Public Guardian office.
- 12. The allegations of this Complaint are applicable to all non-exempt Public Guardian social workers employed by Defendant during the limitations period, including both current and former employees of Defendant.
- 13. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all other non-exempt Public Guardian social workers who were employed by Defendant within the applicable limitations period and who worked in excess of the maximum

- 14. Plaintiffs' position and situation is in all respects similar to, if not identical to, the other persons on whose behalf Plaintiffs bring this action. Plaintiffs reserve the right to seek to certify this action as a collective action with one or more sub-classes.
- 15. Plaintiff Marquez's consent to be part of this action is attached hereto as Attachment A.
- 16. Plaintiff Shaw's consent to be part of this action is attached hereto as Attachment B.
- 17. Plaintiff Guy's consent to be part of this action is attached hereto as Attachment C.

# FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Fair Labor Standards Act)

- 18. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 16 of this Complaint as though fully set forth in this First Claim for Relief.
- 19. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant was an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined by 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s). At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant was an employer within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and has employed and continued to employ social workers, including Plaintiffs, within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(g).

- 20. During the applicable limitations period, Plaintiffs were employed by Defendant as non-exempt Public Guardian social workers.
- 21. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207(a), the Public Guardian social workers employed by Defendant, including Plaintiffs, are entitled to be compensated for all of the hours they worked for Defendant, as well as time and one-half (1½) of their regular pay rate for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
- 22. During the applicable limitations period, Defendants frequently required, suffered, and/or permitted the Public Guardian social workers, including Plaintiffs, to work more than forty (40) hours per week without paying them all of the overtime compensation required by 29 U.S.C. § 207(a). This overtime was worked, without limitation, during uncompensated break and meal periods, traveling and in the field, and/or outside of the office.
- 23. During the applicable limitations period, Defendants failed to accurately record, report, and/or preserve records of hours worked by Public Guardian social workers, including Plaintiffs. To the extent such records exist, they are in the possession of Defendants and discoverable in this action.
- 24. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been repeated and consistent throughout Plaintiffs' entire period of employment as Public Guardian social workers.
- 25. Defendants were aware that the Public Guardian social workers, including Plaintiffs, performed work that could not be completed in forty (40) hours per week and required them to work overtime.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendants were aware that the Public Guardian social workers, including Plaintiffs, worked uncompensated overtime.
- 27. Upon information and belief, Defendants' failure to pay overtime to the Public Guardian social workers, including Plaintiffs, was "willful" for the purposes of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

- 28. By reason of Defendants' foregoing conduct, the Public Guardian social workers, including Plaintiffs, have suffered harm and been damaged.
- 29. Plaintiffs and all others similarly situated are entitled to damages in the amount of all unpaid overtime from three (3) years immediately preceding the filing of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a). They are also entitled to liquidated damages, plus interest and costs as allowed by law, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper. They are also entitled to recover attorney fees and costs, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiffs hereby seek all of the foregoing remedies for themselves and all others similarly situated.
- 30. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on their own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, request the relief set forth in this First Claim for Relief and below.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Briana Ribota prays for the following, on their own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated:

- A. Judgment against Defendants for an amount equal to the overtime Defendants failed to pay at the applicable overtime rate, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- B. Liquidated damages in an additional amount equal to the overtime

  Defendants failed to pay at the applicable overtime rate, pursuant to 29

  U.S.C. § 216(b);
- C. Attorney fees and costs, pursuant to, *inter alia*, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- D. A finding that Defendants' violations of law were willful and providing for a recovery period of three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a);
- E. An award of prejudgment interest;

1	F.	Leave to add additional plaintiffs by motion, the filing of written
2		consent forms, or any other method approved by the Court; and
3	G.	Such further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.
4		
5	Dated: Apr	il 3, 2020
6	The	filer of this document attests that all other signatories listed, and on
7	whose beh	alf this filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have
8	authorized	the filing.
9		Respectfully submitted by,
10		1 3
11		/s/ John R. Parker, Jr.
12		C. Brooks Cutter John R. Parker, Jr.
13		Celine E. Cutter
		CUTTER LAW P.C.
14		401 Watt Ave., Suite 100
15		Sacramento, CA 95864 T: (916) 290-9400  F: (916) 588-9330
16		bcutter@cutterlaw.com
17		jparker@cutterlaw.com
18		ccutter@cutterlaw.com
19		
20		MEGAN A. RICHMOND, APC Megan A. Richmond
21		655 W. Broadway, Suite 1700
22		San Diego, California 92101-8495 Telephone: (619) 577-4253
23		Facsimile: (619) 577-4250
24		
25		
26		
27		

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 1 Pursuant to, inter alia, Amendment VII to the United States Constitution and 2 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of any and 3 all issues in this action triable by a jury. 4 5 Dated: April 3, 2020 6 The filer of this document attests that all other signatories listed, and on 7 whose behalf this filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have 8 authorized the filing. 9 10 Respectfully submitted by, 11 /s/ John R. Parker, Jr. C. Brooks Cutter 12 John R. Parker, Jr. 13 Celine E. Cutter CUTTER LAW P.C. 14 401 Watt Ave., Suite 100 15 Sacramento, CA 95864 T: (916) 290-9400| F: (916) 588-9330 16 bcutter@cutterlaw.com 17 iparker@cutterlaw.com ccutter@cutterlaw.com 18 19 MEGAN A. RICHMOND, APC 20 Megan A. Richmond 655 W. Broadway, Suite 1700 21 San Diego, California 92101-8495 22 Telephone: (619) 577-4253 Facsimile: (619) 577-4250 23 24 25 26 27 28